

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

TIFFANY RECINOS,

Plaintiff,
v.

VISION QUEST CHIROPRACTIC, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C23-5852 BHS

ORDER

V.

VISION QUEST CHIROPRACTIC, et al.,

Defendants.

THIS MATTER is before the Court on pro se plaintiff Tiffany Recinos's

“response to Court order with motion for extension of time to file a notice of appeal.”

Dkt. 11, Recinos filed an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on

September 20, 2023, Dkt. 1. She moved again to proceed *in forma pauperis* on

September 27, 2023, Dkt. 4. On October 17, 2023, she filed a notice of appeal.

Court had not yet issued any order. Dkt. 5

This Court adopted Magistrate Judge Grady Leupold's Report and

Recommendation (R&R), Dkt. 6, denied Recinos's motion for leave to proceed *in forma*

pauperis, and dismissed this case without prejudice and without leave to amend, on

1 November 6, 2023. Dkts. 8 and 9. Recinos filed untimely objections to the R&R on
2 November 13, 2023. Dkt. 10. She now apparently seeks an extension of time to appeal
3 the Court's dismissal, Dkt. 11, but the time for appealing that judgment has not yet
4 expired. *See* Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(1)(A) (notice of appeal must be
5 filed within 30 days of entry of judgment).

6 Recinos has filed substantially identical motions in three other cases (of the 30 she
7 has filed in this District, this year). *See Recinos v. Chase Bank*, Cause No. 23-cv-
8 5643BHS at Dkt. 17; *Recinos v. State of Washington, et al.*, Cause No. 23-cv-5568BHS
9 at Dkt. 16; and *Recinos v. State of Washington, et al.*, Cause No. 23-cv-5592BHS at Dkt.
10 8.

11 The motion is **DENIED** and the case remains closed. The Court will not grant
12 Recinos *in forma pauperis* status on appeal.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated this 27th day of November, 2023.

15
16
17 
BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22